

Elizabeth Mallen

From: Dane Wadle <danew@csda.net>
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2019 11:12 AM
To: Dane Wadle
Subject: CSDA Advocacy Request: Submit AB 1486 Oppose Letter
Attachments: AB_1486_OUA_Letter_2nd_House_7_3_2019_Individual_District_(1).docx

Good morning:

As you may have seen, CSDA is actively opposing AB 1486. The bill expands the types of agencies subject to the Surplus Land Act (SLA) to include local agencies. The bill would add additional hoops for local agencies to go through if it wanted to enter informal negotiations to dispose of surplus land.

Furthermore, the definition of whether or not a local agency “uses” the property is narrowly defined to potentially affect parcels that are not used in day-to-day operations. As such, more property could be subject to the SLA under the current language.

We are trying to get letters from our members. I have attached an “oppose unless amended” sample letter for your use. If your district could email me a letter by August 9th, I would appreciate it.

More information on the bill is available at: <https://www.csda.net/advocate/take-action/campaign-315963>

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks.
Dane

Dane Wadlé, CPFO
Public Affairs Field Coordinator

Celebrating 50 Years of Service: 1969-2019

California Special Districts Association
1112 I Street, Suite 200
Sacramento, CA 95814
877.924.2732, 916.442.7889 fax
www.csda.net

A Proud California Special Districts Alliance Partner.
California Special Districts Association
Special District Risk Management Authority
CSDA Finance Corporation



[Agency letterhead]

[Date]

The Honorable Phil Ting
California State Assembly
State Capitol
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Assembly Bill 1486 (Ting) – Oppose Unless Amended [As Amended June 27, 2019]

Dear Assembly Member Ting:

The **[District name]** is respectfully opposed to Assembly Bill 1486 unless it is amended to address our concerns. AB 1486 would impose onerous new requirements on public agencies attempting to dispose of their land. **[Include a brief description of your district]**

AB 1486 expands the types of agencies subject to the Surplus Land Act (SLA), by adding sewer, water, utility, and local and regional park districts, joint powers authorities, successor agencies to former redevelopment agencies, housing authorities, and other political subdivisions of this state to the list of agencies that are mandated to follow certain requirements before disposing of surplus land.

As currently drafted, AB 1486 would apply the requirements of the SLA to all land owned by a public agency no longer necessary for the agency's "use." AB 1486 would also narrowly define the term "use" in a manner that does not contemplate the countless instances where a public agency owns land for a public purpose but does not use the land in its day to day operations.

AB 1486 also would require a local agency to notice the availability of the property prior to participating in even informal negotiations to dispose of the land. There are many reasons for an agency to have informal negotiations, particularly if the disposition is time sensitive. Informal discussions can provide a public agency the opportunity to closely consider the viability of the land for the agency's public purpose, available alternatives, and a good sense of potential market value. The exceptions included in the bill for "participating in negotiations" fail to address the scope of informal negotiations sometimes necessary to analyze the unique potential, condition, and use of surplus property, which can go beyond simple appraisal or value study. Moreover, because "negotiation" is undefined, it could open an agency to unnecessary liability for actions that may not even be initiated by elected leaders or staff of a local agency.

AB 1486 would impose an onerous penalty of 50% of the final value of the land disposed where a public agency did not comply with the requirements of the SLA. This could be a devastating blow to a small local agency that makes an error. A 50 percent penalty could substantially harm an agency's finances, and by extension the residents and customers they serve.

Finally, AB 1486 would create costly reporting requirements for local agencies and put the Department of Housing and Community Development in the new role of enforcing the SLA—even for properties that have not been designated as suitable for residential housing pursuant to a housing element. Our coalition is proposing amendments to better align the bill's reporting and enforcement provisions with current statutory requirements for housing and land use planning.

[Provide a specific explanation of how the proposed legislation will negatively impact your community and region. Would your district be inhibited from future disposal of the district's land? Describe the situation specifically.]

Recent committee amendments remove the definition of “disposal” which would have required public agencies to offer a right of first refusal before leasing or otherwise conveying their land. This amendment significantly improves the bill and retains public agencies’ ability to preserve their land for future use.

We respectfully request AB 1486 be amended to address these issues. Our opposition is not a challenge to the need for affordable housing, but a validation of the need for local flexibility when it comes to proper governmental land use management.

For these reasons, **[name of district]** respectfully opposes AB 1486 unless it is amended.

Sincerely,

[Your name, Title]

[Name of your district]

CC: Tara Gamboa-Eastman, Office of Assembly Member Phil Ting [Tara.Gamboa-Eastman@asm.ca.gov]
[Your Assembly Member]
[Your Senator]
Dillon Gibbons, Senior Legislative Representative, California Special Districts Association
[dillong@cdda.net]